Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/590,889	SVETE ET AL
	Examiner	Art Unit
	SAVITHA RAO	1614
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):		
(1) SAVITHA RAO.	(3) Dr. Ardin Marschel.	
(2) Mr. Mark Graham.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 30 March 2009.		
Type: a ☑ Telephonic b ☐ Video Conference c)☐ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2 (☐ applicant's representative]		
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☑ No. If Yes, brief description:		
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1.6-8.10 and 18</u> .		
Identification of prior art discussed: Yes. Antoncic et al.		
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.		
Substance of interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>Anothers (suestioned the office) not entering of their amendment with the number</u>		
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)		
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE WINTERVIEW, OSE MIPEP Section 7304, If a reply to be last Office action has already been flotd APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE. OR THE MAIN DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW DATE. OR THE MAIN DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW WARMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on everse side or on attached sheet.		
/SAVITHA RAC/ Examiner, Art Unit 1614		